

LABORATORY FOR INTELLIGENT SYSTEMS AND CONTROLS

Multiscale Adaptive Sensor Systems

IDD

Silvia Ferrari

Sibley School of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering Cornell University

ONR Maritime Sensing D&I Review Naval Surface Warfare Center, Carderock 9 - 11 August 2016



Introduction and Motivation

 Sensor planning: control reconfigurable sensors for collaborative gather information in contested communication environments
 { Tracking endangered species



[1] http://www.ibtimes.co.uk/africa-safari-drones-remote-controlled-buggies-capture-intimate-wildlife-shots-photos-1524742

[2] http://dowley.com/Services/VideoSurveillance/tabid/91/Default.aspx

[3] http://cadet63.rssing.com/chan-9332332/all_p12.html



- Information-driven sensor planning
 - Information value comparison [Polcari 13, Kastella 97, ...]
 - Cell decomposition [Cai 09, Paull 10, Ferrari 09, 12, ...]
 - Probability road maps and trees [Zhang 09, Lu 10, 12, 14, ...]
 - Graphical model [Krause 06, 10, 12, Singh 09, Guestrin 05, Meliou 07, Srinivas 12, Le Ny 09, ...]
 - Advantage:
 - a. Represent information value of measurements for improving the target model
 - b. Can be calculated before measurements are obtained
 - Disadvantage:
 - a. Can only be applied when target models are known
 - Assumptions too restricted: stationary target; discrete and finite control space; unbounded sensor field of view; unconstrained sensor dynamics, ...



LABORATORY FOR INTELLIGENT SYSTEMS AND CONTROLS

Problem Formulation

IDD



Assumptions

- Workspace: $\mathcal{W} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, where $d \in \mathbb{Z}^+$
- Target kinematics: unknown form $\dot{\mathbf{x}}_j(t) = \mathbf{f}_j[\mathbf{x}_j(t)] \triangleq \mathbf{v}_j(t), \quad j = 1, \dots, N$
- Sensor dynamics: constrained $\mathbf{s}(k+1) = \mathbf{As}(k) + \mathbf{Bu}(k)$ $\mathbf{s}(k) \in \mathcal{A}, \ \mathbf{u}(k) \in \mathcal{U}$
- Sensor field of view: bounded $\mathcal{S}[\mathbf{s}(k)] = \mathcal{S}(k) \subset \mathcal{W}$
- Measurement model:

$$\mathbf{m}_j(k) = [\mathbf{x}_j^T(k) \quad \mathbf{v}_j^T(k)]^T + \boldsymbol{\nu}$$

- \mathbf{x}_j : target state
- \mathbf{v}_j : target velocity
- **s** : sensor state
- **u** : sensor control input
 - \mathcal{A} : admissible sensor state
- \mathcal{U} : admissible control input
- $-\mathcal{S}$: sensor FOV
- \mathbf{m}_j : target measurement
 - u: measurement noise





Problem Formulation

- Objective function: $\mathscr{L}[\mathbf{s}(k), \mathbf{u}(k) | \mathcal{M}(k)]$
 - Information brought about by sensor measurements
 - Nonmyopic: conditioned on all previous measurements, $\mathcal{M}(k)$
- Sensor Planning: Given the reward function, $\mathscr{L}[\mathbf{s}(k), \mathbf{u}(k) | \mathcal{M}(k)]$, that evaluates the information value of the sensor state, $\mathbf{s}(k) \in \mathcal{A}$, and control vector, $\mathbf{u}(k) \in \mathcal{U}$, for learning the target kinematic models, find the optimal control, $\mathbf{u}^*(k)$, that maximizes the reward and is subject to the sensor dynamics for the control horizon K,

$$\max_{\mathbf{u}(k)\in\mathcal{U}} \quad J = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \mathscr{L}[\mathbf{s}(k), \mathbf{u}(k) | \mathcal{M}(k)]$$

s. t.
$$\mathbf{s}(k+1) = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{s}(k) + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{u}(k)$$

$$\mathbf{s}(0) = \mathbf{s}_{0}, \quad \mathbf{s}(k) \in \mathcal{A}, \quad \mathbf{u}(k) \in \mathcal{U}$$

where \mathbf{s}_0 is the initial sensor state.



LABORATORY FOR INTELLIGENT SYSTEMS AND CONTROLS

Example

100



Information Sufficiency

Motivation: Develop planning and control algorithms for collaborative networks with intermittent communications

- Existing decentralized optimization methods assume constant communications (network is a connected graph) or detailed prior information (perfect models)
- Consider networks in which some or all nodes (agents) may be disconnected some of the time, and there is no or little prior information (high uncertainty)
- Agents aim to construct probabilistic model from data
- Disconnected agents can determine when their own local information is insufficient, and it is time to reestablish communications

Model a spatial phenomenon:

 $g(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{A}$

• Max temperature over a 2D ROI

 $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$

- Time invariant
- Observable at a set of target locations: $\mathcal{T} = \{\mathbf{t}_i | i = 1, \cdots, r\}, \mathbf{t}_i \in \mathcal{A}$



 $T(^{\circ} F)$



Applications



1: http://acl.mit.edu/projects/cbba.html

2: http://www.caliper.com/transcad/applicationmodules.htm

3: http://www.societyofrobots.com/robotforum/index.php?topic=16714.0

4: PRISM Climate Group, Oregon State University, http://prism.oregonstate.edu, created March 2016.



Estimation of spatial phenomenon:

- $g(\mathbf{x}) \xleftarrow{\text{estimation}} f(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{A}$
- Measurements: $f(\mathbf{x}) \sim \text{Gaussian process}$

Gaussian process:

$$f(\mathbf{x}) \sim GP(\mu(\mathbf{x}), \ \psi(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2)); \ \mu(\mathbf{x}) = E[f(\mathbf{x})]$$
$$\psi(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) = E[(f(\mathbf{x}_1) - \mu(\mathbf{x}_1))(f(\mathbf{x}_2) - \mu(\mathbf{x}_2))]$$

Planning objective: at time k choose locations and measurements $\{\mathbf{y}_k, \mathbf{z}_k\}$ to maximize,

$$D(p(\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{X}_T)|\mathbf{Y}_k, \mathbf{Z}_k)||p(\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{X}_T)|\mathbf{Y}_{k-1}, \mathbf{Z}_{k-1}))$$

where,

$$\mathbf{X}_T = [\mathbf{x}_1 | \cdots | \mathbf{x}_r], \mathbf{x}_i \in \mathcal{T}, i = 1, \dots, r; \mathbf{Y}_k = [\mathbf{y}_1 | \cdots | \mathbf{y}_k]; \mathbf{Z}_k = [\mathbf{z}_1 | \cdots | \mathbf{z}_k]$$

Since \mathbf{z}_k is unknown, optimize expected discrimination gain (EDG):

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\varphi}_D(\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{X}_T); z_k | \mathbf{y}_k, \mathbf{Y}_{k-1}, \mathbf{Z}_{k-1}) &= \\ \int D(p(\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{X}_T) | \mathbf{Y}_{k-1}, \mathbf{Z}_{k-1}, \mathbf{y}_k, z_k) || p(\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{X}_T) | \mathbf{Y}_{k-1}, \mathbf{Z}_{k-1})) \\ &\times p(z_k | \mathbf{Y}_{k-1}, \mathbf{Z}_{k-1}, \mathbf{x}_k) dz_k. \end{aligned}$$



LABORATORY FOR INTELLIGENT SYSTEMS AND CONTROLS

Methodology

100



Sensor Planning Framework





GP Regression



$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{z} \\ \boldsymbol{\upsilon}' \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N} \left(\mathbf{0}, \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Phi}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}) + \sigma_n^2 \mathbf{I} & \boldsymbol{\Phi}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}') \\ \boldsymbol{\Phi}(\mathbf{X}', \mathbf{X}) & \boldsymbol{\Phi}(\mathbf{X}', \mathbf{X}') \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{\Phi}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}') \triangleq \begin{bmatrix} \phi(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_1') & \cdots & \phi(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_m') \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \phi(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_1') & \cdots & \phi(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_m') \end{bmatrix}$$

13



• Posterior distribution: $\boldsymbol{v}' \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}', \boldsymbol{\Sigma}')$, where,

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}' = \boldsymbol{\Phi} \left(\mathbf{X}', \mathbf{X} \right) \left[\boldsymbol{\Phi}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}) + \sigma_n^2 \mathbf{I}_n \right]^{-1} \mathbf{z}$$
$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}' = \boldsymbol{\Phi}(\mathbf{X}', \mathbf{X}') - \boldsymbol{\Phi}(\mathbf{X}', \mathbf{X}) \left[\boldsymbol{\Phi}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}) + \sigma_n^2 \mathbf{I}_n \right]^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Phi}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}')$$

• Example:





GP Target Kinematics Model



Equivalently: single multi-output GP with diagonal covariance matrix function

15



• GP information value: difference between posterior and prior GP

$$D(\boldsymbol{v}_i; \mathbf{m}_j(k+1)) \triangleq D(p(\boldsymbol{v}_i | \mathcal{M}(k+1)) \parallel p(\boldsymbol{v}_i | \mathcal{M}(k)))$$

- $\mathbf{m}_{j}(k+1) \triangleq [\mathbf{y}_{j,k+1} \ \mathbf{z}_{j,k+1}]$: Measurements of position and velocity

- $D(p(x) \parallel q(x)) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x) \ln \frac{p(x)}{q(x)} dx$ $Collocation points: \boldsymbol{\xi} = [\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{T} \dots \boldsymbol{\xi}_{L}^{T}]^{T}$ $Latent variables: \boldsymbol{v}_{i} \triangleq [\mathbf{f}_{i}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1})^{T} \dots \mathbf{f}_{i}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{L})^{T}]^{T}$
- Measurement history: $\mathcal{M}(k) \triangleq \bigcup_{j=1}^{N} \{\mathbf{m}_{j}(\ell) \mid 1 \leq \ell \leq 1\}$
- Problem: future measurement, $\mathbf{m}_j(k+1)$, is unknown



GP-EKLD

• GP expected KL divergence (GP-EKLD)

$$\hat{D}(\boldsymbol{v}_i; \mathbf{m}_j(k+1)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} D(\boldsymbol{v}_i; \mathbf{m}_j(k+1)) p(\mathbf{m}_j(k+1)) d\mathbf{m}_j(k+1)$$

• (Theorem GP-EKLD) The GP-EKLD affords the analytical solution,

$$\hat{D} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\operatorname{tr} \left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{i,k}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{i,k+1} + \mathbf{Q}^{-1} \mathbf{R}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{i,k}^{-1} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{Q}^{-1} \sigma_v^2 \right) - \ln \left(\frac{|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{i,k+1}|}{|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{i,k}|} \right) - 2L \right]$$

where

 $\mathbf{Y}_{i,k}$: All target position measurements of *i*th VF

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} &\triangleq \boldsymbol{\Phi}(\mathbf{Y}_{i,k}, \mathbf{Y}_{i,k}) + \sigma_v^2 \mathbf{I} \\ \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{i,k} &\triangleq \boldsymbol{\Phi}(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\xi}) - \boldsymbol{\Phi}(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{Y}_{i,k}) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Phi}(\mathbf{Y}_{i,k}, \boldsymbol{\xi}) \\ \mathbf{R} &\triangleq \boldsymbol{\Phi}(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{y}_{j,k+1}) - \boldsymbol{\Phi}(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{Y}_{i,k}) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Phi}(\mathbf{Y}_{i,k}, \mathbf{y}_{j,k+1}) \\ \mathbf{Q} &\triangleq \boldsymbol{\Phi}(\mathbf{y}_{j,k+1}, \mathbf{y}_{j,k+1}) - \boldsymbol{\Phi}(\mathbf{y}_{j,k+1}, \mathbf{Y}_{i,k}) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Phi}(\mathbf{Y}_{i,k}, \mathbf{y}_{j,k+1}) + \sigma_v^2 \mathbf{I}_{17} \end{split}$$



• DPGP expected KL divergence (DPGP-EKLD):

 $\hat{D}\left(\boldsymbol{\upsilon};\mathbf{m}_{j}(k+1)\right) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{m}_{j}(k+1)}\left\{\mathbb{E}_{G_{j}(k+1)}\left\{D\left(\boldsymbol{\upsilon};\mathbf{m}_{j}(k+1)\right)\right\}\right\}$

- Difference between posterior and prior DPGP
- Collocation points: $\boldsymbol{v} \triangleq [\boldsymbol{v}_1^T \quad \cdots \quad \boldsymbol{v}_M^T]^T$
- G_j : Target-VF association does not change
- Measurement consistent with GP regression
- (Theorem DPGP-EKLD) The DPGP-EKLD can be simplified as,

$$\hat{D}(\boldsymbol{v}; \mathbf{m}_j(k+1)) = \sum_{i=1}^M w_{ij} \int_{\mathcal{S}} h_i[\mathbf{x}_j(k+1)] \times p_X(\mathbf{x}_j(k+1)) \, d\mathbf{x}_j$$

where $h_i[\mathbf{x}_j(k+1)] = \hat{D}(\boldsymbol{v}_i; \mathbf{m}_j(k+1))$

• Problem:
$$p_X(\mathbf{x}_j(k+1)) = \int p_V\left(\frac{\mathbf{x}_j(k+1) - \mathbf{x}_j(k)}{\Delta t}\right) p_X(\mathbf{x}_j(k)) d\mathbf{x}_j$$
 18



DPGP Particle Filter

- Special case: $p_X(\mathbf{x}_j(k+1)|\mathbf{x}_j(k))$ is Gaussian distributed
- Estimate $p_X(\mathbf{x}_j)$ by Gaussian sum particle filter





DPGP-EKLD Approximation

- (Lemma 1) **Q** is bounded: $\phi_0 + \frac{\sigma_v^2}{k \operatorname{tr}(\phi_0) + \sigma_v^2} \mathbf{I}_2 \preceq \mathbf{Q} \preceq \phi_0 + \sigma_v^2 \mathbf{I}_2$
- (Lemma 2) $\Sigma_{i,k+1}$ is bounded: $\mathbf{0} \prec \Sigma_{i,k+1} \preceq \Sigma_{i,k}$
- (Lemma 3) **R** is bounded: $0 \leq \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{R}\mathbf{R}^T) \leq 4k[(\phi_0) + 2\sigma_v^2]$
- (Lemma 4) $h_i(\cdot)$ is bounded
- (Theorem DPGP-EKLD Approximation)
 - The Monte Carlo integration is unbiased
 - The variance decreases linearly with the number of samples 20



LABORATORY FOR INTELLIGENT SYSTEMS AND CONTROLS

Methodology Part III: Sensor Planning Algorithms

IDD





DPGP-EKLD optimization without sensor dynamics constraints





• DPGP-EKLD optimization with sensor dynamics constraints

 $\mathbf{s}(k+1) = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{s}(k) + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{u}(k)$

• Linear sensor dynamics with constraints:

• Lower bound:
$$(1 - \gamma) \sum_{\ell=k}^{k'} \gamma^{\ell-k} \hat{D}(\boldsymbol{v}_i; \mathbf{m}_j(\ell))$$

- Reward for observing jth target that follows ith VF: $J_{ij} \triangleq w_{ij} \sum_{\ell=k}^{k'} (1-\gamma)\gamma^{\ell-k} \hat{D}(\boldsymbol{v}_i; \mathbf{m}_j(\ell)) P(\mathbf{s}(\ell), \mathbf{x}_j(\ell))$
- Multiple objective optimization

$$\begin{array}{l} \underset{\mathbf{u}(\ell), \ k \leq \ell \leq k'}{\text{maximize}} \begin{bmatrix} J_{11} & \cdots & J_{MN} \end{bmatrix}^T \\ \text{subject to} \quad (1) \end{array}$$

F. FOV 0 (x, y) (x, y) (x, y) y(x) (x, y)Fig. Example of $P(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{x}_i)$

(1)



• Objectives can be ordered by relative importance

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{M} \bigcup_{j=1}^{N} \{J_{ij}\} \xrightarrow{\text{reorder}} \bigcup_{i=1}^{MN} \{J'_i\}$$

• Optimize ordered objectives sequentially: For i = 1, ..., MN,

$$\begin{array}{ll} \underset{\mathbf{u}(\ell), \ k \leq \ell \leq k'}{\text{maximize}} & J'_{i} \\ \text{subject to} & J'_{j} \geq (J'_{j})^{*}, \ j = 1, \dots, i-1 \end{array}$$

- First iteration: convex optimization with linear constraints
- Remaining iterations: Additional constraints:

 $\mathbf{x}_{j}(\ell)$ $\mathbf{x}_{j}(\ell)$ $\mathbf{x}_{j}(\ell)$ $\mathbf{x}_{j}(\ell)$ $\mathcal{S}(\ell)$ $\mathcal{S}(\ell)$ $\mathcal{S}(\ell)$ Geometric constraint on sensor state: $\mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{x}_{j})$



Nominal Network Performance

• Let Σ denote the covariance matrix and $\Psi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ denote the cross-covariance matrix, then the GP **average generalization error** (AGE),

$$\varepsilon(k) = \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{x}} \left\{ \Sigma(\mathbf{x}) - \Psi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{Y}_k) \left[\Sigma(\mathbf{Y}_k) + \delta^2 \mathbf{I} \right]^{-1} \Psi^T(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{Y}_k) \right\}$$

represent a measure of GP performance.

From the latest GP, the posterior covariance, and the network nominal AGE can be estimated from an assumed probability distribution for future measurement locations, and an assumed probability of detection p_t





LABORATORY FOR INTELLIGENT SYSTEMS AND CONTROLS

AGE Communications

IDD





Approximate nominal average generalization error (AGE) from latest GP





- Approximate nominal average generalization error (AGE) from latest GP
- Local GP (GPL) computation

SYSTEMS AND CONTROLS

- GPL is updated using local measurements (obtained by robot *i*)
- Actual AGE is calculated from the local covariance function.





- Approximate nominal average generalization error (AGE) from latest GP
- Local GP (GPL) computation

SYSTEMS AND CONTROLS

• Communication time: at the *n*th time step, the *i*th robot communicates if

$$\max_{i} \left| \sum_{k=n_0}^{n} \varepsilon_i(k) - \varepsilon_{\text{nominal}}(k) \right| > \gamma$$

where, γ is predefined performance threshold.





- Approximate nominal average generalization error (AGE) from latest GP
- Local GP (GPL) computation
- Communication time

SYSTEMS AND CONTROLS

Information sharing: all new measurements are communicated and used to update the robot GP











Actual AGE (robot *i*)

---- Nominal AGE

****-/



⇒

19











Simulation Results

Modeling of a spatial phenomenon, $g(\mathbf{x})$, by four robots with disjoint workspaces:





Conclusions

- Conclusion
 - { Developed novel information theoretic functions for GP models of distributed spatio-temporal processes
 - { Developed information-theoretic sensor planning algorithms for distributed networks with bounded fields of view
 - { Developed AGE method for monitoring information sufficiency and schedule communications
- Future work
 - Extend information theoretic functions to partially observable targets
 - Extend information theoretic functions to decentralized control
 - { Develop multiscale adaptive planners to uncertain environments



LABORATORY FOR INTELLIGENT SYSTEMS AND CONTROLS

Thank you!

100